Proudly Serving Maryland's 7th District
<a href="http://www.house.gov/cummings">http://www.house.gov/cummings</a>
2235 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515

(202) 225-4741 FAX (202) 225-3178

August 1, 2007

Contact: Jennifer Kohl 202.225.4289 or 202.225.4025 Trudy Perkins 410.685.9199 or 202.225.4641

## SUBCOMMITTEE ON COAST GUARD & MARITIME TRANSPORTATION

"Challenges Facing the Coast Guard's Marine Safety Program"

August 1, 2007 - 2:00 p.m. Room 2167, Rayburn House Office Building

Statement of Chairman Elijah E. Cummings

Earlier this week, the Subcommittee examined the management of the Coast Guard's administrative law system, which adjudicates allegations of misconduct or negligence brought against mariners by Coast Guard investigating officers.

We heard testimony that was frankly deeply concerning. Two former Administrative Law Judges suggested that during their tenure, they worked in an atmosphere that did not support their exercise of judicial independence in the consideration of cases.

The Subcommittee's examination of the allegations raised in that hearing is not yet finished. However, any administrative law system must not only ensure that there is no impropriety in the conduct of administrative proceedings but that there is not even the appearance of unfairness in the system.

What we learned during our hearing on Tuesday has led me – and I believe Ranking Member

LaTourette – to conclude that the administrative law system that examines allegations made against

Proudly Serving Maryland's 7th District

http://www.house.gov/cummings

2235 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

(202) 225-4741 FAX (202) 225-3178

mariners should be separated from the Coast Guard as a safeguard against the appearance of

unfairness.

Our hearing today builds on Tuesday's hearing by giving the Subcommittee the opportunity to take a

comprehensive look at the Coast Guard's entire marine safety program to assess whether the Coast

Guard has the experience, the expertise, and the resources it needs to effectively implement this crucial

program.

In addition to investigating allegations of misconduct raised against mariners, the marine safety

program is responsible for investigating accidents, inspecting vessels, and issuing credentials to

mariners. In short, the marine safety program is intended to ensure that all aspects of maritime

transportation are as safe as they can be and that our natural resources are protected against the risks

associated with the movement of goods and people on the water.

I want to thank Chairman Oberstar for his extraordinary leadership in the area of marine safety and I

thank him for urging us to hold this hearing today. I also thank Ranking Member Mica and Ranking

Member LaTourette for their leadership on the Committee.

Congress has been involved with maritime safety since the first Congress created the Lighthouse

Service on August 7, 1789. Congress has repeatedly expanded maritime safety programs over the past

two hundred years as ships and shipping practices have evolved.

Proudly Serving Maryland's 7th District
<a href="http://www.house.gov/cummings">http://www.house.gov/cummings</a>
2235 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515
(202) 225-4741 FAX (202) 225-3178

Unfortunately, over the years, Congress' actions to enhance marine safety have usually been spurred

by major catastrophes - such as the grounding of the Exxon Valdez, which led to the enactment of the

Oil Pollution Act of 1990.

Our goal today is to examine the state of the marine safety program to assess whether action needs to

be taken now to strengthen this program and ensure it is prepared to effectively regulate the 21st

century maritime industry BEFORE any new catastrophe occurs.

Since I became Chairman of this Subcommittee, I have heard repeatedly from ship owners and

operators, port authorities – including the Port of Baltimore – and mariners regarding their concerns

with the Coast Guard's marine safety program.

Mariners are concerned about the delays they encounter in obtaining the documents they need to work,

while ship owners have expressed serious concerns about the ability of Coast Guard personnel to

maintain their expertise in technical aspects of vessel safety given their increasing focus on

implementing security measures.

The suggestion that the Coast Guard's lack of technical expertise may have contributed to fatal

accidents is extremely troubling. We will examine that issue in depth as we examine the Coast

Guard's response to several recent accidents, including the capsizing of the Lady D pontoon boat in

Baltimore's Inner Harbor in March 2004 that resulted in the deaths of 5 passengers.

Proudly Serving Maryland's 7th District

http://www.house.gov/cummings

2235 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

(202) 225-4741 FAX (202) 225-3178

During its investigation of this tragic accident, the National Transportation Safety Board found that the

Lady D was "erroneously granted sister status by the U.S. Coast Guard to a pontoon vessel with

different design characteristics."

The NTSB then recommended that the Coast Guard promulgate revised passenger weight standards for

passenger vessels.

Unlike the FAA, which quickly adopted new weight standards in less than a year after a commuter

aircraft casualty in January 2003, the Coast Guard has now issued interim weight guidelines and

revised operating standards but, some 3 years after the Lady D tragedy, has still not finalized new

weight standards for all passenger vessels.

The testimony submitted by Admiral Allen today indicates that in fact the Coast Guard has 85

rulemaking processes – including some mandated by Congress – that have not yet been concluded,

including those involving ballast water, dry cargo residue, salvage, towing vessel inspections, the use

of Automatic Identification System transponders, and the use of electronic charts that were required by

law to be on vessels by January 1st of this year.

In advance of today's hearing, we asked the Coast Guard, mariner representatives, vessel operators,

lifesaving equipment manufacturers, and related service facilities to tell us how the marine safety

program is working.

Proudly Serving Maryland's 7th District

http://www.house.gov/cummings

2235 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

(202) 225-4741 FAX (202) 225-3178

The industry witnesses we will hear from have all expressed concern about the Coast Guard's

"appropriate posture" toward mariners and the industry, the Coast Guard's level of technical expertise,

the oversight of lifesaving equipment, and the rotation of personnel in the marine safety program.

While I look forward to the testimony of all who are joining us today, I also want to note for the record

that there were many in the maritime industry who expressed concerns to the Subcommittee but who

were frankly afraid to testify for fear of retribution from the Coast Guard.

Such a situation is simply intolerable and I hope that Admiral Allen will take away from today's

hearing an understanding of what the service needs to do to improve its relationship to our nation's

maritime industry.

The American public believes that the Coast Guard is keeping vessels safe and protecting the marine

environment. Today, we will determine whether these expectations are indeed being met – and I look

forward to working with Chairman Oberstar, Ranking Member Mica, and Ranking Member

LaTourette as we explore the future of this important program.